

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/605,640	10/15/2003		David Morrow	WLI 1092 PUS	2639
27256 7:	590	09/07/2004		EXAM	INER
ARTZ & ART	•		CHAMBERS, MICHAEL S		
28333 TELEGRAPH RD. SUITE 250				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SOUTHFIELD	MI 480)34	3711	, .	

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		MORROW ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	10/605,640 Examiner					
		Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Mike Chambers	ith the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	appoure on the outer direct to	rai are correspondence dadress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thicod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	5 October 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ T	his action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) Dobjected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cord 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	. ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/605,640

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities:

The claim is a sentence fragment with no claim limitations. It is assumed the limitation should include "and form a one-piece integral frame as claimed in dependent claim 11.

Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In line 3: "integrally" should be -integral--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-7,9-14, and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Brine et al (US 20020160865 A1). Brine et al discloses

a pair of opposing sidewalls each having a top end and a bottom end, a base portion extending between and connecting said bottom ends of said pair of opposing sidewalls (fig 5a), a scoop portion extending between and connecting said top ends of said pair of opposing sidewalls a pair of opposing flaps (202) extending generally

Application/Control Number: 10/605,640

Art Unit: 3711

inwardly from said pair of opposing sidewalls toward a centerline of the lacrosse head; and a netting coupled to said pair of opposing flaps, wherein said pair of opposing flaps is utilized for channeling a lacrosse ball for improving retention of the into a decreased pocket size area throwing accuracy and enhancing ball retention lacrosse head (fig 5a).

As to claims 2, 10 and 18: Brine et al discloses a plurality of string holes (fig 5a).

As to claims 3, 11 and 19: Brine et al discloses a pair of sidewalls, base portion and scoop (fig 5a).

As to claim 4: Brine et al discloses an integral sidewall and flap (fig 5a, 4:paragraph 50).

As to claim 5: Brine et al discloses a plurality of string holes (fig 5a, 208, although not clearly disclosed, a traditional stringing would include holes for attachment).

As to claims 6 and 13: Brine et al discloses contoured flaps (fig 5a).

As to claims 7 and 14: Brine et al discloses a traditional pocket (fig 5a, paragraph 50).

As to claims 9 and 16: See claim 1 rejection. The flaps would tend to direct the ball to the base of the head.

As to claims 12 and 20: Brine et al discloses a plastic material (2:paragraph 34).

As to claim 17: Brine et al discloses an elastic deformable material (3:paragraph 37).

Application/Control Number: 10/605,640

Art Unit: 3711

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brine et al. The number of thongs used is a matter of design choice. The specification provides no unexpected results in using less than 4 thongs. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have selected an appropriate number of thongs in order to easily throw the ball.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Chambers whose telephone number is 703-306-5516. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 703-308-1513. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

6506132 *US202/0160865*

Michael Chambers Examiner Art Unit 3711

September 2, 2004

GREGORY VIDOVICH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700